

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/699,465	<b>Applicant(s)</b> COWART ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Emily Bernhardt	<b>Art Unit</b> 1624	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Emily Bernhardt.

 (2) Ms. Ferrari-DiLeo.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 October 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Left Ms. Dileo a voicemail message that in amending claim 100 to make it independent, the limitation present in claim 94 needs to be inserted into claim 100 as the requirement that one of R1-R4 be other than H was implicitly included in the claim. The change will be made via an Examiner's Amendment..